UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,079	02/06/2004	Shehzad T. Merchant	2717P176	7139
	7590	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			POPHAM, JEFFREY D	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2491	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/774,079	MERCHANT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFREY POPHAM	2491	

	OLITTICITY OF THAT	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be also also be al	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:	
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) rejected:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13.  Other:		
	/Jeffrey D Popham/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2491

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the independent claims, such as claim 1 stating that the combination of identities includes that of "a user and of a mobile client of the user" changes the scope of the claims, as previously the identities were that of a user station and a mobile client.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Torvinen does not disclose "periodically downloading the stored second location information to an edge device." Applicant goes on to assert that "Torvinen allegedly discloses quasi-open groups whose group access criteria can be altered dynamically. However, such alteration of group access is not performed periodically let alone that group access being equivalent to the second location information. The only place where the term "periodically" is disclosed in Torvinen is with respect to the BSIC...". As the Examiner stated in the final office action dated 3/21/2011, Torvinen's teachings with respect to this limitation are found in "updating of the location for the group, and downloading such location to clients when they attempt to access the group, as an example". It is in the updating of the location and downloading of such location to the clients that the periodic downloading is found. The periodic downloading is not found merely in "alteration of group access". As discussed in Torvinen, group access criteria can be changed, and this group access criteria can include the location. When a client attempts to access a group, the group access criteria are downloaded thereto, such group access criteria including the location (or region of interest) associated with the group. Therefore, when a client attempts to access the group, this location is downloaded to the client. It is noted that any communication going to the client goes through any access point or edge device providing the client access to the network. This is periodic, since it occurs periodically as each potential member of a group downloads the criteria. This is clearly periodic, since it happens from time to time, as each potential member gains interest in the group. Therefore, Torvinen clearly discloses "periodically downloading the stored second location information to an edge device."